## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Date:	6	19	/23	SHAMROCK Inspector:			NDFILL 10M	
Time:_	4:	156	)M W	eather Conditions	: Som	y HAZE	10°	

		Yes	No	Notes
CCRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	<del>manining di umi polin</del>		
1,	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X	una muunutikati vai mittiki 1-25
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	
CCR Ft	igitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	<b>(4)</b> )		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	X	ne internationalistications	Marie Carlo de Carlo
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		X	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?	in mindendelladiktivalika asi	X	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		X	
9.4	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	K		
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		メ	
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		7	